	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		IAINIET AI
Notice of Allowability	09/820,896 Examiner	JAIN ET AL. Art Unit
•		2123
	Kandasamy Thangavelu	2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	s (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a) or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject	pplication. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 28 February 2005.		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-17</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 20 March 2001 are accepted by the	Examiner.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav 	re been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in thi	s national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	" of this communication to file a rep MENT of this application.	ly complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subr INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	ust be submitted.	
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	r's Amendment / Comment or in the	e Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the draw the header according to 37 CFR 1.12	wings in the front (not the back) of (1(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL FFOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	_ must be submitted. Note the ICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informa	I Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u> </u>	•••
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	_ Paper No./Mail [Date/
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. ⊠ Examiner's State	ment of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other	/ /
-		Carte trainer

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

This communication is in response to the Applicants' response filed on February
 28, 2005. Claims 1-17 of the application are pending.

Examiner's Amendment

2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ms. Molly McCall on March 24, 2005.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

3. In the Claims:

In claim 1, Lines 7-9, "wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used;"

has been changed to

-- wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used --.

Art Unit: 2123

In claim 1, Lines 14-16, "determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used;"

has been changed to

-- determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used --.

In claim 1, Lines 19-21, "and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used."

has been changed to

-- and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used.--.

In claim 6, Lines 5-6, "wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used;"

has been changed to

-- wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used --.

Art Unit: 2123

In claim 6, Lines 11-13, "determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used;"

has been changed to

-- determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used;--.

In claim 6, Lines 15-17, "and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used."

has been changed to

-- and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used.--.

In claim 11, Lines 6-8, "wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used;" has been changed to

-- wherein the actual test environment is meant to emulate the actual electronic device in an environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used;--.

Art Unit: 2123

In claim 11, Lines 10-12, "and to determine an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used"

has been changed to

-- and to determine an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used --.

In claim 11, Lines 13-15, "and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment the actual electronic device might ordinarily be used are used"

has been changed to

-- and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used are used --.

Reasons for Allowance

- 4. Claims 1-17 of the application are allowed over prior art of record.
- 5. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art of record shows:

Art Unit: 2123

- (1) an integrated circuit design and evaluation system for evaluating a test pattern produced for the a semiconductor test system, based on logic simulation data produced in the design stage of the IC without using an actual semiconductor test system or the integrated circuit to be tested; the integrated circuit designs are evaluated through a software simulator called device logic simulator; test patterns and expected value patterns for an LSI tester to test the integrated circuits are produced using the dump file produced by the device logic simulator; in device logic simulation, the test patterns to be applied to the device model and the resultant outputs are in an event base; in an actual LSI tester, the test patterns are described in a cycle base; the event base test patterns from the logic simulation are converted to cycle base; an LSI tester simulator is used for evaluating the test patterns; a logic simulator is used for simulating the functions of the device under test which receives the test patterns from the LSI tester simulator (Matsumura et al., U.S. Patent 6,370,675);
- (2) a software simulator that simulates the operation of the target chip for cost-effective software development and program verification in non-real time; the simulator simulates the entire target chip and key peripheral features including DMA, timers and serial port etc.; the simulation determines the timings of the device and exactly how the manufactured device will work (Swoboda et al., U.S. Patent 6,704,895); and
- (3) a method of analysis of power nets of the integrated circuits; the method uses a transistor network simulator an a power network simulator; the transistor network simulator calculates the current information of the transistor network at specified supply voltages; the power network simulator uses the currents calculated in the transistor network simulation to calculate the node voltages and branch currents in the power net; when the supply voltage drops

Art Unit: 2123

below a voltage drop threshold, a timer is started; if the voltage remains below the voltage drop threshold, at the end of a specified amount of time, a voltage drop warning is produced; when the peak current density rises above a peak current density threshold, a timer is started; if the peak current density remains above the peak current density threshold at the end of the specified amount of time, a peak current density warning is produced (**Tuan et al.**, U.S. Patent 5,872,952).

5.1 Applicants' first set of claims consists of claims 1-5.

Independent Claim 1 is directed to a method of evaluating performance of a test environment and an actual electronic device during testing of the actual electronic device. The claim identifies the uniquely distinct features of:

"determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used" and "evaluating the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device based on the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used".

The closest prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used; and evaluating the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device based on the

Art Unit: 2123

determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used, as claimed by the Applicants. Therefore, claims 1-5 are deemed novel and allowable.

5.2 Applicants' second set of claims consists of claims 6-10.

Independent Claim 6 is directed to an article, comprising a storage medium having instructions stored thereon, the instructions when executed evaluating performance of a test environment and of an actual electronic device during testing of the actual electronic device.

The claim identifies the uniquely distinct features of:

"determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used" and "evaluating the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device based on the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used".

The closest prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest determining, in the virtual test environment, an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used and evaluating the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device based on the

Art Unit: 2123

determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used, as claimed by the Applicants. Therefore, claims 6-10 are deemed novel and allowable.

5.3 Applicants' third set of claims consists of claims 11-17.

Independent Claim 11 is directed to an apparatus for evaluating the performance of a test environment and of an actual electronic device. The claim identifies the uniquely distinct features of:

"to determine an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used wherein the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used are used to evaluate the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device".

The closest prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest to determine an indication of a signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual electronic device will ordinarily be used wherein the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the actual test environment and the determined indication of the signal transmission time of the actual electronic device in the environment where the actual

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/820,896

Art Unit: 2123

electronic device will ordinarily be used are used to evaluate the integrity of the input test signal and a resulting output signal from the virtual device, as claimed by the Applicants. Therefore, claims 11-17 are deemed novel and allowable.

- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kandasamy Thangavelu whose telephone number is 571-272-3717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, can be reached on 571-272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

K. Thangavelu Art Unit 2123 March 24, 2005

William Land